



Intervet Inc. 405 State Street P.O. Box 318 Millsboro, DE 19966 (302) 934-8051

November 11 2002 FAX RECEIVED NOV 1 2 2002

12...pages including cover sheet.

GROUP 1600

PERSON TO: COMPANY/DEPT TO: FAX NUMBER:

Examiner V. Portner

Group Art Unit 1645

703 308 4242

PERSON FROM: COMPANY/DEPT FROM: FAX NUMBER:

William P. Ramey III Intervet, Millsboro 302 934 4305

Patent Department

RE:

JACOBS ET AL

USSN 09/544,683

Please accept the following document in the above-identified application:

Supplemental Response to Office Action of July 22, 2002 (7 pages)

Cintervet

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN PROPRIETARY INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF YOU ARE NOT THE ADDRESSEE, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT). THANK YOU.

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown bean RECEIVED

By: Koli ly

NOV 1 2 2002

**GROUP 1600** 

Atty Docket No.: I/99471 US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

JACOBS ET AL.

Serial No.: 09/544,683

Filed: April 7, 2000

For: CAMPYLOBACTER VACCINE

Group Art Unit: 1645

Examiner: Portner, V.

henda

11/13/02

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF JULY 22, 2002

Applicants respectfully request acceptance of the following supplemental response to the Office Action of July 22, 2002. Please charge any required fees to deposit account 02-2334. Additionally, Applicants again express their gratitude to Examiners Smith and Portner for conducting an interview with the Applicants' attorney on November 5, 2002.

## I. Status of Claims

Claims 1-3 and 9 are pending for examination. Claims 4-7, 10, and 12-17 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected. Applicants have overcome all rejections except the rejections directed towards 35 USC §102(b) by Blaser

I and 35 USC §102(b) by Cawthraw. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in light of this response.